

Assessment Rubric/Criteria for Literature Review (The following rubric will be used to assess your introduction and methods sections of your paper.

	Criteria	Very Poor	Poor	Good	Excellent	Point Value
Addresses questions/content						
AQC	(2) <u>Did not address 5 or more areas</u> of the questions/content areas provided in the content guidelines					
	(4) Addressed few of the questions/content areas provided in the content guidelines (<u>missed 3-4 areas</u>)					
	(6) Addressed almost all questions/content areas provided in the content guidelines (<u>missed 1-2 areas</u>)					
	(8) Addressed <u>every</u> question/content area provided in the content guidelines					
	Up to 8 points					
Thoroughness and quality of writing						
TQW	(2) Addressed questions/content areas extremely inadequately, and with thin data (<u>7 or more areas have issues</u>)					
	(4) Addressed questions/content areas inadequately, and with thin data (<u>5-6 areas have issues</u>)					
	(6) Addressed questions/content areas somewhat thoroughly, and with well-supported data from mostly credible sources (<u>3-4 areas have issues</u>)					
	(8) Addressed questions/content areas thoroughly, and with well-supported empirical data from credible sources (<u>1-2 areas have issues</u>)					
	Up to 8 points					
Correct writing						
CW	(1) Content areas are poorly written (unclear, incorrect sentence structure, no logical flow, etc.), using incorrect grammar and spelling in many or most areas (<u>10 or more errors</u>)					
	(2) Content areas are not well-written (somewhat unclear, imbalanced sentence structure, confusing flow, etc.), using incorrect grammar and spelling in several areas (<u>7-9 errors</u>)					
	(3) Content areas are mostly well-written (fairly clear, appropriate sentence structure, logical flow, etc.), using mostly correct grammar and spelling (<u>4-6 errors</u>)					
	(4) Content areas are well-written (clear, appropriate sentence structure, logical flow, etc.), using correct grammar and spelling (<u>3 errors or less</u>)					
	Up to 4 points					
Use of resources						
UR	(3) Resources and citations were inappropriately used, and failed to adhere correctly to APA style in many areas (<u>10 or more errors</u>)					
	(4) Resources and citations were not always appropriately used, and failed to adhere correctly to APA style in some areas (<u>7-9 errors</u>)					
	(5) Used most resources and citations appropriately, and adhered correctly to APA style most of the time (<u>4-6 errors</u>)					
	(6) Used resources and citations appropriately, and adhered correctly to APA style (<u>3 or less errors</u>)					
	Up to 6 points					
Adherence to formatting, guidelines, etc.						
AFG	(1) Did not follow formatting and assignment guidelines in a many areas (<u>4 or more issues</u>)					
	(2) Did not follow formatting and assignment guidelines in a few areas (<u>3 issues</u>)					
	(3) Followed formatting and assignment guidelines nearly flawlessly (<u>2 issues</u>)					
	(4) Followed formatting and assignment guidelines flawlessly (<u>1 or less issue</u>)					
	Up to 4 points					